

導讀 P. 1-2 東天人熱話
電影話題

P. 2 東天人心得之
我最喜愛的電影

P. 3-4
東天人創作

P. 4 東天人專訪
訪問新老師

東天人



二零一一年五月號

編採：東涌天主教學校中學部中文學會

地址：大嶼山東涌逸東邨
電話：21210884 傳真：21094803

本期主力

6A 陳明鳳 林佳
6B 任婉華 黎敬玲 邱美雲
鄭偉強 陳寶沙 張惠萍
2E 陳淑桂 2A 葉婉兒
負責老師：陳建民 司徒嘉敏

《葉問》

「我要打十個！」《葉問》中的一句對白，自上映後便成了我們最熟悉的說話。我從不看民國時代的電影，總嫌那個時代的國仇家恨太過沉重，也怕看見外敵侵華的畫面，不是怕血腥的場面，而是怕自己無法原諒傷害其他人的人。但因為是甄子丹，所以我看了。

無疑《葉問》這套電影是沉重的，為了一家人的生計，要將生命放在擂台上。在自己的地方要卑躬屈膝，屬於自己的家園被日軍霸佔，同胞被日軍開槍打死，朋友被日本人活活打死，那個時代，內心那種無力和悲壯對於現在的我們來說，是過於遙遠虛無的情感，唯有透過看電影才能感受到當時的人內心深處的難受。

大概香港人對自身中國人的認同感隨著祖國近年的崛起而增加，所以我們看最後一幕日本將軍被打死的畫面看得很高興，彷彿把當年每口怨氣隨著甄子丹的揮拳而帶走，他每一下有力的動作都是中華民族內心的對日本人的控訴與吶喊，那個時候，我們為自己是中國人而深深自豪。

可是，愛國的情感只是曇花一現，有多少人願意花時間認識中國的歷史？有多少人願意接受中國曾經的黑暗——文化大革命、大躍進、六四，還有現在的人權問題？我們慶幸祖國強大，看到日益強大的軍事和經濟實力，願意接受自己是中國人，但我們

電影話題

卻對中國最根本的問題視而不見，我們不願認識也不願承認歷史，我們愛的不是中國，而是錢。那些為國家的未來而犧牲的被遺忘了，為明天的中國而流的血流乾了，但我們的思想仍然閉固。我們假裝看不到這些醜惡，只願相信中國人都像葉問一樣勇敢、愛國、忍讓，擁有世上所有良好的品質。

我們可以遺忘很多事情，但不能否認歷史。《葉問》不止是想要我們了解詠春的厲害，更希望以這套電影喚起我們內心深處的愛國情緒，我們是中國人，要愛國就得先好好了解中國的過去。

《潛行凶間》

提到《潛行凶間》，很多人都讚不絕口，說情節很緊湊，題材新穎、畫面刺激，但除了這些「表面」的東西外，你可有從另一個角度分析箇中的道理？

對筆者來說，印象最深的是主角為了能再見到他的一對子女，不惜深入危險的那份後悔感。整齣電影中，主角Coco對妻子和子女的那份後悔感如影子般追隨著他，他後悔自己一時衝動，令到妻子選擇離開人世；他後悔當初離開時，沒有好好地看過子

女的模樣。這一份濃厚的後悔感使他不管進入哪一個夢境，他的妻子和子女都會隨時出現破壞。若從拍攝手法來說，這自然是一個令情節更緊湊、更刺激的手段。但筆者認為，這是一個很值得我們反思的問題。



我們始終未有真正地覺悟。

常聽人說，香港人最缺乏的是時間，忙工作、忙交際、忙打理生活上的瑣事，剩下的也只有夠睡覺吃飯而已。其實這些都是藉口，若你願意，從埋頭苦幹中，抽那麼十分鐘出來，跟家人聊聊天；缺席一次交際活動，陪家人吃一頓飯；放下想睡到日上三竿的願望，跟家人逛逛街。這些都是我們能力範圍裡的事，所以別再把「不為」當作是「不能」的藉口。

「珍惜」是一個老掉牙的話題，但它在我們身邊不曾消失過。如今看這齣刺激緊湊的《潛行凶間》，讓你重新思索「珍惜」的重要。

《Kill Bill》I & II

說到血腥片，不得不說由Quentin Tarantino執導的電影《標殺令》，戲中女主角於婚禮前在教堂被自己組織的人殺害，朋友和未婚夫都被殺死了，在昏迷四年後，她向當初毀了她一切的殺手們復仇。

Quentin Tarantino 善於借鑑多種電影手法，把暴力美化，為觀眾帶來視覺上的刺激。這種電影手法為這套電影帶來莫大的成功，戲中血腥場面如斬手、斬頭、徒手挖眼、飛刀殺人等等都讓喜歡血腥片的人欲欲作嘔卻想要追看下去，再加上戲中穿插日本武道和香港武打，還有影片中的動畫、黑白場面、二人對決時輕快跳脫的背景音樂，讓人在沉重的復仇情節下有一喘息的空間，深思這套以血腥為噱頭的電影背後想帶出的訊息。

復仇並不容易，遇上的困難會把意志燃燒殆盡。我很欽佩女主角意志無比堅強，先不說她所做的傷害了無數的人，她的堅強和意志讓她一路走過來，她最終圓了自己的心願，報復了當初傷害她的人。要成功做好每一件事，不可缺少的是自己強大的意願，沒有一股信念支撐自己，是很難走過種種難關的。

電影以復仇作為主題，復仇是一個自我保護的機制，向傷害我們的人作出反擊就能避免下一次的傷害，這是一「以牙還牙」的基本思想。但復仇了後又如何？除了舒了一口氣外，我們又得到了些甚麼？那一口氣，是用多少的傷害換來的？值得嗎？我們要傷害了多少人才能平息心中的一腔怒氣？那些被傷害的人真的是死有餘辜嗎？我們要如何去量度這仇恨的份量？

據悉 Quentin Tarantino 會在 2014 年開拍 Kill Bill 3，女主角將反過來遭受她殺害的那些殺手家人報仇，且看看這復仇在何時才能停下。

《The Hot Chick & the Nottie》

我向來不太喜歡看歐美電影，總覺得情感不夠細膩不夠含蓄，然而我卻挺喜歡以醜女為題的電影。近來看了一套叫做《The Hot Chick & the Nottie》（中譯《美女與醜姑》），給我留下了頗深刻印象的片子。它不是新片，是零八年的片子了。

故事敘述一個男孩為追求他的初戀情人，想盡辦法為她的朋友，一個長得醜陋無比的女孩找伴（另文 P.2）

Name: Kelly Wong Siu Ki
Class: S5A
Teacher: Ms. Clara Cheung Kwong Wah

Question:
You see a blog entry on the Internet suggesting that the government pass a law making it compulsory for all Hong Kong citizens to separate household waste. You strongly agree with the writer's opinion and have decided to write a letter to the editor of your local newspaper to convince the public that introducing such a law is a good idea.

Dear Editor,
Separation of Waste

I am writing to express my support for compulsory waste separation. It is without a doubt that there is too much rubbish in Hong Kong. I think the government should make a new law requiring people to separate their household waste.

Due to the fact that the landfills will be full within fifteen years we desperately need to reduce the amount of waste we produce. Everyday, when more than 18,000 tonnes of waste are put into the landfills, two-thirds of the waste is recyclable. If people are made to separate the waste, I believe that the amount of waste put in landfills will be drastically reduced.

Owing to the careless attitude of people, compulsory waste separation can arouse the public's awareness of waste reduction and encourage them to recycle their waste. In life, waste from domestic sources accounts for 80% of the total waste quantity. The high percentage of domestic waste is a result of our wasteful habits and carelessness. If the law is passed, it would encourage people to reduce, reuse and recycle. Therefore, a compulsory waste separation law could raise the environmental awareness of people on a daily basis and reduce waste.

Finally, the government should introduce compulsory waste separation throughout Hong Kong. The government should have responsibility to rectify people's wasteful habits and encourage the public to conserve the environment. People should be more aware of how much they have dumped and to recycle. Take a successful country, Taiwan as an example. The law of waste separation has been forced and this has resulted in a 40 % decrease of waste. So isn't it time for the government to pass a law to address the problem of waste in Hong Kong?

To conclude, I support wholeheartedly that the government should pass the law of household waste separation as it is a reliable way to solve the waste problem.

Yours truly,
Chris Wong

Name: Husky Ho Hoi Ting
Class: S5E
Teacher: Ms Lareina Tam Lok Man

Question:
You see a blog entry on the Internet suggesting that the government pass a law making it compulsory for all Hong Kong citizens to separate household waste. You strongly agree with the writer's opinion and have decided to write a letter to the editor of your local newspaper to convince the public that introducing such a law is a good idea.

Dear Editor,

I am writing to express my view on the idea of passing a law making it compulsory for all Hong Kong citizens to separate household waste.

I strongly agree with the passing of this law because there are so many advantages if the government passes this law.

The first advantage is the housing estates can earn additional income because they can sell the recyclable materials which can be used to subsidize management expenses. For example, the Heng Fa Chuen housing estate earned more than \$100,000 from selling recyclable materials after participating in the Programme on Source Separation of Domestic Waste.

Also, passing this law can help to minimize the amount of waste that would require disposal. Since everybody would recycle waste, there would be less waste to put into the landfills.

It is good for our environment too.

On the other hand, there could be so many disadvantages if the government does not pass this law.

First, our landfills will run out of space. As we don't recycle the waste, we will throw everything into landfills. If we keep throwing the waste into landfills and do not reduce our wasteloads, the landfills will become full with our rubbish. We may need to use up more landscape to create another landfill.

Second, it is harmful to our environment. Once we throw the waste into the landfills, the waste will be decomposed by bacteria. The waste may release toxins into the soil when they are decomposing. Then the land cannot be used anymore. The ecosystem will be destroyed too because the habitat of animals and plants are polluted by toxins.

To sum up, the government should pass this law because housing estates can earn additional income and it can help minimize the amount of waste that needs to be disposed. If we do not pass the law, our landfills will run out of space and the landfills harm our environment.

Yours faithfully,
Chris Wong

Name: Kyle Cheung Ho Yin
Class: S5C
Teacher: Ms Eliza Chan Man Yee

Question:
You are a teacher at a well-known secondary school. You are very concerned about the pressure some students are under to be high-achievers. You feel that pushy parents are making their children work too hard in order to do well in exams and to get good jobs. Write a letter to the editor explaining your concerns about this issue.

Dear Editor,

I am writing to express my concerns about the problem of pushy parents. I am a teacher at a well-known secondary school, I discover that many students are being pressurised as their pushy parents are making them work too hard in order to get a good result in exams and to get good jobs. I am very worried that too much pressure will damage students' values, physical and mental health.

My first main point is that children are not getting enough exercise. In order to make their children do well in exams, pushy parents will arrange their children to join various tutorial classes. In the classes, students also need to revise and finish their homework. They do not have any spare time to do exercise. Doing exercise can help students to relax. However, students will get back pain when they sit for a long time, as well as neck strain. Students should have proper exercise to relax themselves.

Another important point is children get too stressed and anxious. Pushy parents demand their children to get good results in every exam, students will just study the whole night in order to fulfill their parents' expectation. If they fail their exams, they will have trouble as they think they will bring shame on their family. It will put invisible pressure on students. If students are under pressure for a long time, they will get mental problem, such as self-criticism, fear of failure etc. It will not only weaken their health, but also their study ability.

Even worse, children may commit a crime to release their pressure. Pushy parents are only concerned about their children's academic result. They neglect their children's feeling. Students will think that their parents are not thoughtful on them. Students will probably commit a crime to release their pressure, for example, robbery, bullying etc. If pushy parents put too much pressure on their children, it will damage their moral values.

Health is my big concern. There is no denying that proper pressure can push students to perform well. However, too much pressure can lead to bad physical and mental health, also erode students' values. If pushy parents want their children to perform well, they need to encourage their children to study hard. Apart from this, they need to ensure their children have a good health. I hope that this letter will make parents think twice about what they tell their children.

C. Wong

Name: Eric Wong Man Hang
Class: S5A
Teacher: Ms Shirley Lam Tsui Shan

Question:
Recently, you have read an editorial entitled, "You will never get anything changed in Hong Kong through noisy street protests". Write a letter to the editor either supporting or criticizing the idea, and give suggestions about what could be done to bring the public concerns to the government's attention more effectively.

Dear Editor,

The most effective way to call for action - protests.

I am writing in regard to a previous editorial entitled "You will never get anything changed in Hong Kong through noisy street protests." As an experienced protester and a resident in Hong Kong, I strongly object to this idea. In fact, protests may have a good impact in Hong Kong. The underlying reasons why I disapprove of this idea are threefold.

First, a protest, compared with the other alternatives, is undoubtedly the most direct and effective way to voice one's opinion. A large group of people, especially with a similar opinion, can gather to create a strong voice for a particular cause. It provides a platform to unite people who are of the same mind to make their voice heard in society. Also, such protests will be reported widely by the media, therefore drawing public attention to the issue effectively.

Second, such protests can urge the government to take prompt and precise actions to meet the public's needs. A widely reported protest is able to provoke a strong outcry, as well as drawing public attention. It would also turn the screws on the Government to help boost the development of the city, ameliorating the poor quality of life. A vivid example was the 2011 government budget incident. A large group of people protested on streets when the budget was first announced, and the Government had to then immediately amend the budget plan in response to the demand of the citizens. This is clear evidence to demonstrate that protests can make a big difference.

Third, a protest is also an effective way to protect the underprivileged in the city. However prosperous Hong Kong may appear, a multitude of people are still living in penury. In fact, these underprivileged people have

played a role in the labour force, and have contributed an effort to the city's development. Notwithstanding, the government still turns a blind eye to their lamentable circumstances. Thereby, there is a need to protect the basic right of the underprivileged, and as mentioned, protests would be the most direct and effective way among limited options to express opinions.

Taking a step back, there are in fact some other effective alternatives to bring public concerns to the Government's attention:

First, apart from participating in protests, taking part in the "City Forum" or other consultation forums is also a good way to voice opinions. In the "City Forum", a programme that provides citizens from all walks of life with a platform to discuss a particular issue, people can justify their opinions and demands with full media coverage as well. As a result, their opinions can be heard by the public and hopefully the Government.

Second, forwarding their concerns to the district councillors is another useful way to bring the public discontent and needs to the Government. District councillors, representing the citizens, can effectively help bring their own concerns to the department concerned. As the councillors are more influential and authoritative than the general citizens, they can create an even stronger voice.

In Hong Kong nowadays, democracy has become a crucial element in ameliorating the citizens' low living standard. With a myriad of problems in the city arising, there is a need to call for prompt actions from the government. I believe a noisy protest is the quickest way to bring about changes.

Yours faithfully,
Chris Wong



東天人創作

東天人心得之我最喜愛的電影



梁嘉毅 (中一禮)
最喜愛的電影:
《阿凡達》
原因: 情節感動, 戰爭, 特技精彩。



張詠詩 (中二樂)
最喜愛的電影:
《PS I LOVE YOU》
原因: 感人, 故事好, 看了令人感慨。



李泳琪 (中三樂)
最喜愛的電影:
《金剛》
原因: 感動及令人緊張, 情節深刻, 描述了猩猩與人的微妙關係。



葉梅妹 (中四望)
最喜愛的電影:
《HIGH SCHOOL MUSICAL》
原因: 浪漫, 男女主角吸引, 配合歌曲演繹, 多元化及動感, 喜歡綜合式的主題電影。



梁偉德 (中五信)
最喜愛的電影:
《赤壁》
原因: 刺激, 有思考價值, 藉以認識歷史。



李富威 (中六信)
最喜愛的電影:
《鐵達尼號》
原因: 浪漫感人, 喜歡男主角里安納度。



林嘉晴 (中六望)
最喜愛電影:
《潛行凶間》
原因: 深奧, 有思考空間, 令人有看下去的意慾, 故事充滿有趣的意念。

(續上) 侶, 這亦是他初戀情人惟一不願與他一起的原因, 到最後這男孩卻喜歡上這女孩, 女孩變得很漂亮。故事情節出人意料, 一定不會讓看官失望, 在這我不透露太多的劇情了。

不知道各位有沒有想過為什麼美女總是配上豬哥呢? 我在這片子裡找到了答案, 無論多好的男孩(哈佛出身, 家境良好, 運動天才, 擁有世上人們所渴望擁有的優點), 對於一個女孩來說, 一個對的人比一個好的人更重要。然而, 只要不是你的 ω 刀 ω 刀, 又有何意義呢?

他不明白你的幽默, 不了解你的偏執, 不體諒你的任性, 不知道你的需要, 那倒不如與對的人坐在一起看小新更好。

即使你的 ω 刀 ω 刀不夠帥氣不夠溫柔不夠聰明不夠本事, 沒有身材沒有車沒有樓沒有錢。他卻能使你笑的開懷, 躺得安心, 活得自在, 懂得在你鬧脾氣在哭的時候, 親親你的額頭, 抱你在懷裡, 男孩不懂得這比所有珠寶首飾都叫女孩心醉。

女孩們, 在被帥氣的優質男拒絕了的時候, 不要灰心, 因為這並不是因你不够好, 只是你不是他的那個女孩。不要看低自己, 總有一個男孩會看到你的美, 知道你有一顆善良的心。我不認同人們說: 你會找到更好的。也許不會找到更好的, 但會找到更適合的。只要這樣堅信着, 有一天你會是某人的女孩。漂亮的外表不會為你帶來快樂, 是你為自己帶來快樂。不是漂亮的人比較快樂, 而是快樂的人剛好是漂亮那個。

《女高怪談》是我較為喜歡的恐怖片, 它一系列的電影, 目前出演了五套, 包括《死亡教室》、《交換日記》、《狐狸階梯》、《聲音》和《結伴自殺》。《女高怪談》一直在唯美哀傷、撲朔迷離的故事骨架下描繪一個個令人害怕的女生朋友們的友情故事, 以及介於友情和愛情間的曖昧情感。快樂、安慰、嫉妒、絕望、恐怖、難過……女人們之間

多麼可怕的友誼, 怎麼能忍心做出如此殘忍的事。因為真, 因為貼近生活, 令人更心寒, 曾經是如此親密的朋友, 曾經是如此深愛的朋友。為了名利, 為了妒忌欣羨, 對那樣愛着你的朋友做出如此滅絕人性的事。

若這一條魔法樓梯真的存在, 真的能夠願望成真, 即使是會傷害失去至親至愛, 你還是會對它許下願望嗎?

珍秀不惜毀了她的摯友, 也不甘敗在她腳下。朋友, 在你們心目中是應該互相比較的對手嗎? 正因為是朋友, 才不用計較, 因為是朋友, 才全心依賴。沒有被深愛的人相信的人傷害, 更令人怨恨的事。因此, 淑海, 還是回來了。回來找她最心愛的朋友, 珍秀。

電影對人類文化有深遠的影響, 我們希望在看畢電影後, 每個人都能有一個深思的機會, 好好思考到底電影想要帶出甚麼訊息, 電影能改變世界, 因為它能改變我們的生命。

我最推薦的是第三部《狐狸階梯》, 一部絕對能稱得上經典的校園恐怖片。除了令人冷汗淋漓的恐怖畫面及情節外, 其人物間表現出的人性黑暗面更令人心寒。悔恨悲涼的情感, 為整部電影添上更牽動人心的氛圍。一絲哀愁縈繞在女頭, 有股莫名的悲傷。故事講述在一間女高中學校通往宿舍的通道上, 有一條被稱為著了魔法的樓梯, 這條樓梯只得二十八級, 但當第二十九級出現時, 在樓梯處許願, 願望將會成真。學校裡有一對好朋友, 她們很要好, 都是芭蕾舞學生, 但一場比賽令珍秀討厭了淑海。她妒忌淑海的天份, 更痛恨她沒有淑海如此好的背景。她把玻璃碎片放在淑海的舞鞋裡, 淑海還是出色地表演了。最後她到了那條魔法樓梯許願, 希望能成為冠軍。

翌日, 淑海在與她糾纏中滾下樓梯, 腳斷了, 再也跳不了舞, 最後自殺。她, 成功了, 成了冠軍, 卻怎樣也高興不來。



林梅莉老師

林：林梅莉老師
東：東天人記者

東：請問您以前從事什麼工作呢？
林：我以前在小學擔任教學助理，上午主要負責圖書館事務和教電腦班，下午則會在功課輔導班教導學生。
東：為什麼會來這裏工作？
林：因為這裏可以專門負責和管理圖書館的工作，是十分吸引和難得的機會。
東：剛開始有什麼困難？
林：我想初時會有些不習慣，因為我以前在小學工作，現在則主要負責中學的工作，而中、小學的年齡層始終不同，所以和以前比較，相處的模式和話題都會改變，不過在這裏工作差不多半年了，也漸漸習慣這裏的生活。
東：您是圖書館主任助理，可否為同學推介一本書？
林：我最近看了《動物傳心術》這本書，都覺得頗不錯的。因為我本身就很喜歡動物，家裏養了四隻貓，而這本書特別的地方是書中的動物傳心術師能夠瞭解寵物的想法，無論是自己的寵物，還是別人的寵物。
東：很多謝您接受我們的訪問。◆



余肇熙老師

余：余肇熙老師
東：東天人記者

東：請問您以前從事什麼工作呢？
余：我以前是從事市場分析的工作。
東：為什麼會來這裏工作？
余：其實主要有兩個原因的，一來是想轉換一下工作環境，不想只是對著電腦工作。二來是以前自己都很希望能夠成為老師，所以在機緣巧合下，就來了這裏工作。
東：從事市場分析和做老師有什麼分別？
余：當然有很大分別，從事市場分析時，經常對著電腦工作，頗呆板和悶的。但做老師就能增加了自己和人與之間的接觸，所以工作的成功感亦較大。
東：在東天工作了差不多半年，有什麼難忘的回憶或片段呢？
余：我想是參與東天十週年活動的時候吧！初時會覺得東天是一間很新的學校，但在十週年的活動當中，發覺整間學校的氣氛都很好。尤其是看見不少的舊生回來出席晚宴，可見學生對學校都很有感情，很有歸屬感。
東：很多謝您接受我們的訪問。◆

陳豪斌老師

陳：陳豪斌老師
東：東天人記者



東：請問您之前的工作是甚麼？
陳：我之前是一位代課老師，任教數學科、科學科和物理科。後來才轉來這間學校任教。
東：那您認為東天與您之前任教的學校，有甚麼不同？
陳：其實每間學校都很類近，很難比較，不同的是同學。我認為這間學校的學生比較乖巧，擁有自己的目標，會著緊自己的成績。除此之外，同學亦十分享受校園生活，會讀書與娛樂並重。
東：您在這間學校亦已任教了一段日子，有否甚麼感想？
陳：我覺得很開心，尤其是在教書時，看著一個個學生由最初的不懂，到之後明白了答案，給我一種很大的滿足感，所以我很高興能在東天教書。
東：很多謝您接受我們的訪問。◆

薛麗兒老師

薛：薛麗兒老師
東：東天人記者



東：請問您以前從事什麼工作呢？
薛：我之前都是從事教學工作的，主要任教宗教課和負責圖書館事務。
東：為什麼會來這裏工作？
薛：其實一來自己想轉換一下工作環境，二來是希望透過擔任牧民助理，令到更多的同學多認識和瞭解宗教的價值觀，以及是傳福音。
東：牧民工作主要是做什麼的？
薛：牧民工作主要是在學校推行宗教培育和推動更多的同學認識宗教，例如舉行祈禱會令更多的同學瞭解宗教。
東：在東天工作了差不多半年，有什麼深刻的印象？
薛：我想頗為深刻的是遇到的同學大多是正面積極的，同學大多很投入參與學校活動，十分享受校園全活。相較我以前遇到的學生，可能只會覺得學校只是一個讀書的地方而已。
東：很多謝您接受我們的訪問。◆

東天人專訪 | 訪問新老師

(續 P.3)

Name: Kimmy Li Ka Sin
Class: S5A
Teacher: Ms Shirley Lam Tsui Shan

Question:
Recently, you have read an editorial entitled, "You will never get anything changed in Hong Kong through noisy street protests". Write a letter to the editor either supporting or criticizing the idea, and give suggestions about what could be done to bring the public concerns to the government's attention more effectively.

Dear Editor,

I am writing in response to the editorial entitled "You will never get anything changed in Hong Kong through noisy street protests" dated 13th April. In my opinion, the noisy street protests can help the Government make policies that cater for fellow citizens' needs to improve the fellow citizens' quality of life.

First, a street protest is an effective way for the street protesters to voice opinions. Whenever demonstrations are held, the media would report them widely and help capture the public's attention to the issue. As a result, the citizens are more likely to take notice of these demonstrations and ultimately the demands of the protesters. It is easy for the street protesters to make the will of the public count.

Second, the street protests can form public pressure to urge the Government to look into the matter concerned and take immediate action. As a result of the media coverage on the street protests, more Hong Kongers will be concerned about what the protesters are fighting for. This concern will generate public pressure on the Government or provoke a public outcry. To ease the citizen's discontent and anger, the Government may take redemption

measures such as revising policies or making changes to protect people at the bottom of the pile.

Third, it is possible that Hong Kong will become more democratic and fair after repeated street demonstrations. By holding demonstrations, protesters can express their needs to the Government. Therefore, the Government will gain an insight into what the citizens really want and so devise policies to meet citizen's needs.

Although street protests can bring the public concerns to the Government's attention effectively, peaceful protests will definitely do better. Setting up public consultation forums can help gather public opinions efficiently without disturbing social harmony. As everyone in Hong Kong has a right to express their views and comments at the consultation forum, the Government can listen to the voice of people from all walks of life. This means setting up public consultation forums can maintain social order while effectively bringing public concerns to the Government's attention.

Apart from public consultation forums, people can ask civil parties to pass on their opinions to the Government. Since members of the civil parties and Government officials have meetings periodically, representatives in the civil parties can voice the citizens' opinions directly and the Government can address their needs.

It is beyond dispute that street protests cause nuisance to others. Yet I believe that however noisy it is, it is worthwhile to hold street protests. I hope that the Government can look into the matter, respond to the street protester's needs and make real progress in Hong Kong.

Chris Wong ◆

緣盡。 花繡 (中六信)

一池波水，被輕拂，
顫抖著跳著圓波舞。

兩對眼眸，沾濕了，
落下如雨般的淚粉。

三言兩語，說盡了，
空氣中殘留呼吸聲。

你和我，我和你，
緊貼，分開。
相依，離散。

思念，如此深刻。
原來，一切已盡。

一池波水，被輕拂。
那抹淺笑，扣動了心弦。
是你是你，顫抖了我的心。

愛你愛你，
就在此停。

淚下，如泉，不歇。
芳心，如死，不動。

我和你，你和我，
不再，緊貼。
已經，離散。
緣盡。◆